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INTRODUCTION

A few hours ago, as I was enjoying my morning constitutional, I was struck once again at what a beautiful campus this is. The sun was filtering through the trees, wisps of fog were clinging to the shores of the pond, the geese were out. Five days ago, back home in Colorado, I had run through freezing rain and 28 degree temperatures. It was probably good for my character, but the contrast did prompt me to ask myself what possessed me to leave this magical place twelve years ago? They say that intelligence is multiple. Maybe I am suffering from a low Living Situation Aptitude Test score — LSAT. When you consider that I left here for a fine law school in Madison, Wisconsin, a few miles from the North Pole, my own self-suspicion deepens.

At any rate, thank you to Rex Perschbacher and the Edward Barrett Committee for the invitation to speak. I am very pleased to be back, and especially to follow in the footsteps of my friend and colleague, Derrick Bell, who spoke here one year ago.

The subject of my talk is the billion dollar a year testing industry and especially the Law School Admissions Test (LSAT) — the real one. That little beauty, familiar to most of you from a terror-filled Saturday in October, is one of a family of tests that include the SAT, which hundreds of thousands of high school students take every year, the Graduate Record Exam (GRE), which you take to get into graduate school, and many others. Every year 143 million Americans take standardized tests for education alone, another 50 to 200 million for business and industry, and several million more for the military. The number of standardized tests taken is on the order of 600 million annually, with total costs running in the billions of dollars, more when you add in prep courses.

As you might imagine, the College Board (Board) and Educational Testing Service (ETS), which lie at the center of the testing movement, are comfortably wealthy organizations. Despite its nonprofit status, the Board paid its president $350,000 and its nine vice presidents more than $100,000 in a recent year.

\[1\] Peter Sacks, Standardized Minds: The High Price of America’s Testing Culture and What We Can Do To Change It 221 (1999).

\[2\] Id.

\[3\] Id.

\[4\] Ben Gose, Historic Shift at the College Board as the SAT Faces Fresh Opposition, CHRON. HIGHER EDUC., Dec. 17, 1999, at AS1
$250,000,000 that year, passing nearly two thirds of it on to ETS. All
three tests, the SAT, GRE, and LSAT, share a similar history and lineage,
but the LSAT is comparatively unstudied because it is taken by a much
smaller number of applicants than the SAT or GRE. For that reason, I
will sometimes draw on studies of these other two tests as well.

Standardized testing originated early in the twentieth century as a way
to demonstrate the intellectual superiority of northern European whites.
Its originators subscribed to eugenic theories that considered nonwhites
and southern European immigrants sources of contamination to the
precious human gene pool. Obsessed with mental deficiency,
researchers such as Binet, Terman, Spearman, and Brigham pioneered
the earliest mass tests, including one for the United States Army during
World War I to exclude the unfit and to assign soldiers to jobs based on
ability. Associating intelligence with moral qualities and character,
some test boosters assigned high marks to fellow citizens of Nordic stock
and low intelligence and moral turpitude to Slavs, Mediterraneans, and,

1 Id.
2 See The Law School Admission Council: A Hundred-Million Dollar Investment Fund that
Does Law School Testing on the Side, J. BLACKS IN HIGHER EDUC., Spring, 2000, at 95
[hereinafter Testing on the Side] (reporting that 70,000 students took LSAT in 1997);
Frontline] (stating that two million students take SAT annually).
3 SACKS, supra note 1, at 17-34; Daria Roithmayr, Barriers to Entry: A Market Lock-In
4 See CARL C. BRIGHAM, A STUDY OF AMERICAN INTELLIGENCE 197 (1923) (warning that
immigrants and minorities swamped America with inferior genes); JEAN STEFANIC &
RICHARD DELGADO, NO MERCY: HOW CONSERVATIVE THINK TANKS AND FOUNDATIONS
CHANGED AMERICA'S SOCIAL AGENDA 35 (1996) (noting that Carl Campbell Brigham,
designer of SAT, declared that "Nordic white intelligence in the United States was being
diluted because of the introduction of inferior Negro stock"); Richard Delgado, Ten
(tracing Carl Campbell Brigham's writings); Roithmayr, supra note 7, at 759; Kenneth L.
Shropshire, Colorblind Propositions: Race, the SAT, and the NCAA, 8 STAN. L & POL'Y REV.
141, 146 (1997) (noting Carl Campbell Brigham's beliefs that minorities were less intelligent
than Nordic whites); Stanley Fish, Reverse Racism or How the Pot Got to Call the Kettle Black,
ATLANTIC MONTHLY, Nov. 1993, at 135 (finding that SAT founder was "an out-and-out
racist" and SAT was to "confirm racist assumptions").
5 See SACKS, supra note 1, at 19-21; Nicholas Lemann, Behind the SAT, NEWSWEEK, Sept.
6, 1999, at 53 (stating that Binet was French psychologist who devised first human
intelligence test in 1905 and Americans Terman and Spearman advocated use of Binet's
tests in America). See generally 5 ENCYCLOPEDIA OF EDUCATION 130-31 (1968) (providing
biographies of Binet and Terman).
6 SACKS, supra note 1, at 29-32; Shropshire, supra note 8, at 146; Fish, supra note 8, at
135.
of course, blacks.\textsuperscript{11} Among those who obsessed about these matters was Carl Campbell Brigham, an early president of the College Board and an unapologetic race-purifier for whom Catholics, Jews, and east Europeans represented a defective strain of humanity.\textsuperscript{12}

Threatened with underemployment after World War I ended, the testing industry transferred its attentions to the university and college market. The College Board had begun in 1900 with 35 colleges using its early examinations.\textsuperscript{13} The Board expanded rapidly by tapping post-World War I enthusiasm for testing and the American penchant for organizational planning, later Cold War fervor, and, a little later, remarkably, the civil rights movement.\textsuperscript{14}

A few years after Brigham’s reign, in 1947, the Board created the Educational Testing Service (ETS) to supervise the construction and administration of the SAT.\textsuperscript{15} Its early promoters, including James Conant, former president of Harvard, saw the test as an attractive alternative to admissions based on family connections. They also saw it as a means of organizing society efficiently along Platonic lines with every citizen assigned a place according to ability and aptitude.\textsuperscript{16}

By 1948, thousands of ex-GIs were applying to law schools.\textsuperscript{17} ETS responded by creating the Law School Admissions Test Council (now LSAC), which soon incorporated and chose its parent, ETS, as the agency to operate its testing program.\textsuperscript{18} From the beginning, ETS worked diligently to enhance its progeny’s image: scheduling conferences at fine resorts, assigning staff to produce reports on LSAC letterhead, and creating titles, committees, and consultant positions for law professors to forestall the possibility that the Association of American Law Schools might develop its own competing test.\textsuperscript{19}

\textsuperscript{11} James Crouse & Dale Trusheim, The Case Against the SAT 19 (1988); Stefancic & Delgado, supra note 8, at 34-40; see Fish, supra note 8, at 135 (stating that SAT was developed by Carl Brigham to confirm racist assumptions). Brigham classified American society into distinct groups, with Nordic people at the top and African Americans at the bottom. Fish, supra note 8, at 135.

\textsuperscript{12} Crouse & Trusheim, supra note 11, at 22-25; see Sacks, supra note 1, at 29-32; Fish, supra note 8, at 135; see also Stefancic & Delgado, supra note 8, at 35-36.

\textsuperscript{13} Crouse & Trusheim, supra note 11, at 17-18.

\textsuperscript{14} Id. at 19-25, 35-36; David Owen, None of the Above 6 (1995).

\textsuperscript{15} Crouse & Trusheim, supra note 11, at 27; Owen, supra note 14, at 6.

\textsuperscript{16} Crouse & Trusheim, supra note 11, at 28-33.

\textsuperscript{17} Paul D. Carrington, Tocqueville’s Aristocracy in Minnesota, 26 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 485, 515.


\textsuperscript{19} Id. at 243.
ETS later developed programs, including the Law School Data Assembly Service (LSDAS), which provided law school admissions offices with class rankings, profiles, formulas, index numbers, and predicted first year averages, all designed to make law school admissions simple and pseudo-scientific. Despite LSDAS' formidable ability to crank out numbers, the organization concealed the race gap in LSAT scores until comparatively recently. And, when troublesome information does come to light, the testing industry tends to respond by stepping up publicity. For example, when the U.S. Justice Department found no significant relationship between National Teacher Examination scores and teaching effectiveness, ETS responded not by rethinking its test, but by increasing promotion and newspaper ads.

Because of conservative challenges to affirmative action, standardized testing today is emerging as the chief barrier to the educational ambitions of minorities and the poor. The same conservatives who have been attacking affirmative action strenuously support standardized testing, equating test scores with the objective merit that affirmative action is said to ignore. A host of critics take issue with that equation.

The body of my talk outlines the case against standardized testing. But before I begin, I would like to note that many of us are deeply invested in test scores, having done well on standardized tests and seen them open doors for us as we have gone through life. Yet, I would like to give you some reasons to start thinking about those scores in a different light. And, toward the end of my talk, I will address the reaction that many listeners have on hearing talks like this, that is: what is the use, things will never change. I provide a series of alternatives to the LSAT and ways to assure that these alternatives receive attention.

---

20 Id. at 244-45.
21 Testing on the Side, supra note 6, at 95.
22 See, e.g., NAIRN, supra note 18, at 320-24 (describing how ETS responded to criticism over National Teacher Examination).
23 Id. at 319-20.
26 Id. at 25-26.
27 See infra Part II.
I. ARGUMENTS AGAINST STANDARDIZED TESTING

The first argument against standardized testing is that despite its origins in intelligence testing and white supremacy, the tests are surprisingly poorly written. Keen eyes are constantly finding mistakes in them. For example, on one multi-state bar examination, administered by ETS, thirty to forty answers were found to be wrong. On the Test of English as a Foreign Language, ETS had to re-grade an entire nationwide sample when it discovered it was using the wrong answers. And, on one administration of the SAT, four out of forty five verbal test answers turned out to be wrong, and many other wrong answers were as plausibly correct as the ones ETS keyed correct.

Particular test items aside, many ETS tests do not test all relevant skills. The LSAT, for example, only requires verbal and reasoning fluency, not the ability to command probability, scientific reasoning, humanistic thought, historical thought, or knowledge of human motivation and psychology - all skills important for lawyers. Multiple choice test taking under severe time constraints, as one critic put it, "is a specialized kind of game which rewards certain kinds of people and penalizes others for reasons apart from their ability to handle words [concepts] and numbers." Many reputable psychologists today recognize that intelligences are multiple and that complex intellectual tasks, such as lawyering, require a wide range of abilities. These abilities include empathy, communication skills, common sense, reasoning by analogy, synthetic reasoning, and the ability to make order out of situations that are ambiguous, complex, and uncertain. Using a two hour paper and pencil test of word comprehension and logical games to judge fitness for law school is like picking basketball players based on a trial of foul shots. Tests like the LSAT and the SAT do measure something, but what they measure may not be particularly important.

28 NAIRN, supra note 18, at 139-40.
29 Leo Reisberg, 1,000 Students Hit by Grading Error on TOEFL, CHRON. OF HIGHER EDUC., Apr. 30, 1999, at A45. See generally CROUSE & TRUSHEIM, supra note 11, at 11-14 (noting errors on ETS tests); OWEN, supra note 14, at 33-55 (providing examples of error prone questions).
30 CROUSE & TRUSHEIM, supra note 11, at 11-12; OWEN, supra note 14, at 35-55.
32 NAIRN, supra note 18, at 83-84.
33 SACKS, supra note 1, at 208-10; Sturm & Guinier, supra note 31, at 976.
How many real writers — not to mention lawyers — use obscure words or farfetched analogies? Thinking styles vary from person to person. You and I, when faced with the same problem, may take radically different routes to get to the right answer. Or, we may come up with two answers that are slightly different but each arguably correct. Standardized tests punish takers who deviate from the path the designer has in mind. This enforced orthodoxy is independent of particular items and terms that disadvantage minorities and the working class, such as regattas and tuxedos. It also punishes those who think outside the box. This punishment becomes particularly troublesome considering that standardized tests came into wide use in the 1950s and 1960s, when the civil rights era was just starting, and froze standards as they stood at a time when many minorities could not possibly meet them because of segregated schools and widespread poverty.

Recall that the testing industry shifts its promotional material with the times. The testing industry has not, however, demonstrated this same flexibility with the contents of its tests, which have remained remarkably the same for decades. This is true even though what society needs today is vastly different from what it needed fifty years ago, and even though today’s 180 ABA accredited law schools differ greatly in their missions and characters. The earliest versions of the LSAT were a hodge podge of questions from other occupational tests, not specific to law. Yet, they predicted law school performance just as well, or badly, as today’s versions do.

Even aside from conceptual incoherence, or perhaps because of it, the LSAT and other standardized tests simply are not very good at doing...
what they profess to do, namely predict first year grades.\(^\text{4}\) The LSAT, for example, correlates with first year grades with a coefficient of about .4, meaning that it predicts only about sixteen percent of the variation in those grades.\(^\text{14}\) Other factors, which we could focus on but do not because the test is so simple and convenient, account for the other eighty-four percent. And, a study of minority law students showed a sharp drop off in correlation after the first year — .27 for the second year and .17, barely positive, for the third.\(^\text{45}\) That is another way of saying that by year three, test scores were predicting less than three percent of the variation in performance.

The LSAT is not the only ETS test that does not predict what it professes. As mentioned earlier, the Justice Department found no significant relationship between National Teacher Exam scores and teacher effectiveness.\(^\text{46}\) In another study, high scorers on the GRE took longer to graduate than modest scorers.\(^\text{47}\) At Yale, the correlation between GRE scores and academic success was negative for female graduate psychology students.\(^\text{48}\) On the SAT, men score forty-five points higher than women, who nevertheless earn higher college grades.\(^\text{49}\) And, in the range of test scores in which admissions officers function, the SAT increases schools’ ability to predict graduation only one tenth of one percent over class rank alone, while greatly reducing minorities’ chances of admission.\(^\text{50}\) At the University of California, for example, the number of Latinos would double if the system dropped the test.\(^\text{51}\)


\(^{46}\) CECILIA V. ESTOLANO, NEW DIRECTIONS IN DIVERSITY: CHARTING LAW SCHOOLS ADMISSION POLICY IN A POST-AFFIRMATIVE ACTION ERA 32-33 (1997).

\(^{18}\) Nairn, supra note 18, at 320.

\(^{49}\) Sacks, supra note 1, at 278 (describing study evaluating GRE score and time until graduation in geology master’s degree program); Sacks, supra note 25, at 26.

\(^{27}\) Sacks, supra note 1, at 278.


\(^{12}\) See also Alan Jenkins, Leveling the Playing Field: An Opportunity Agenda, THE NATIONAL, Mar. 6, 2000, at 16 (reporting on 1994 study revealing that GPA and LSAT formula scores did not predict success of African American students). See generally CROUSE & TRUSHEIM, supra note 11, at 5-8, 89-145.

\(^{49}\) Garcia, supra note 49. See generally Testing on the Side, supra note 6, at 46-48 (stating
Many students with low scores perform well after graduation. Studies of large-scale mistakes in test scoring show that low scorers do as well as those admitted without the mistake. Additionally, studies of Ivy League legacies show that sons and daughters of alumni admitted with test scores far lower than those of their classmates go on to do just as well. (This is not an argument for legacy admits, however.) And, recent large-scale studies of minority graduates by Bowen and Bok and by four professors at the University of Michigan law school show that minorities admitted under affirmative action, with SAT scores in many cases considerably lower than those of their classmates, nevertheless perform superbly after graduation, publishing books, winning prizes, and earning Ph.D.s at rates exceeding even those of their high testing peers.

Test scores are, however, highly correlated with economic status. In the old days, elite schools achieved status by admitting students with the best family backgrounds — which of course included the right race, ethnicity, and religion. Current rankings of institutions, generated primarily by test scores, are exactly the same as the pre-existing hierarchy that turned on social status. A SAT taker can expect an extra thirty points for every ten thousand dollars of parental income; a graph of test scores by family income is a straight line rising steeply. Test scores correlate with family income even more highly than they do with that admissions system relying on LSAT resegregates blacks into lower ranked schools.

---

52 See Kidder, supra note 24, at 206; Sturm & Guinier, supra note 31, at 974.
56 See NAIRN, supra note 18, at 197-219; SACKS, supra note 1, at 8; Sturm & Guinier, supra note 31, at 957; Wong, supra note 44, at 231-34.
57 See Roithmayr, supra note 7, at 761-62.
58 Id. at 765; Wong, supra note 44, at 237-45 (pointing out that elite lawyers intentionally support LSAT precisely because it discriminates on basis of wealth); see NAIRN, supra note 18, at 198-99.
59 SACKS, supra note 1, at 8; Sacks, supra note 25, at 27.
first year grades. Social class also correlates with scores, so that the children of a professional making $70,000 a year do better on the average than those of a blue collar family earning the very same income. Even zip codes predict ETS test scores with startling accuracy.

Why such a strong correlation? Lani Guinier reports an informal study in which Jesse Jackson went to Glenbrook South, a suburban high school outside of Chicago that spends $11,000 per year per pupil, has twenty-four hour service from janitors earning $45,000 a year, carpets on the floor, a ninety-eight percent graduation rate, and assumes every student is able to learn. If any student has trouble, the school simply assigns him or her a tutor and computer, if necessary. Jackson then went to the Cook County jail, where he interviewed the predominantly black and Hispanic population of inmates. Instead of a ninety-eight percent graduation rate, he found a ninety percent rate of high school dropouts, on whom Cook County was spending $22,000 a year to keep behind bars. Ninety-nine percent of the inmates were functionally illiterate.

A further reason why SAT scores and family wealth may be correlated is that crash/prep courses, some of which cost $1200 or more, are said to boost one's score by 150 points or more on the SAT and a comparable amount on the LSAT. Because our society has the highest level of childhood poverty in the western world, with over forty percent of black

---

60 Sturm & Guinier, supra note 31, at 957; see Sacks, supra note 25, at 27 (noting that parental education also accounts for fifty percent of variation in SAT scores).
64 Id.
65 Id.
66 Id.
67 See Wong, supra note 44, at 236-37 (citing increase after coaching of 7.5 points on current scale); see also Sex and Race Differences on Standardized Tests: Before the Subcomm. on Civil and Constitutional Rights of the House Comm. on the Judiciary, 100th Cong., 1st Sess. 299 (1987) [hereinafter Subcommittee]; Sturm & Guinier, supra note 31, at 991 n.164 (citing study with 110 point gain); Testing on the Side, supra note 6, at 96 (stating that LSAT prep companies claim that coaching yields gains of 10 percent or more). But see Carolyn Mooney, Test Preparation Courses Have a Negligible Effect on Scores, Study Concludes, CHRON. OF HIGHER EDUC., Mar. 26, 2001, http://chronicle.com/daily/2001/03/2001032601n.htm.
and Latino kids growing up poor, it is easy to guess who gets to take Kaplan courses and attend elite prep schools that emphasize college attendance.

A culture of test saviness compounds these inequities. A recent study gave undergraduates one hundred reading comprehension questions taken from actual SATs. In some cases, the researchers provided the reading passages, the questions, and the five possible answers; in others, only the questions and answers. One group of sixty-one honors students, who were given only the questions and answers, but not the reading passages, scored 52.8; a second group of non-honors students, who also only received the questions and answers, scored 37.6. Because choosing answers at random would lead to scores of about twenty, and both groups scored much higher, performance on reading comprehension tests may measure overall cleverness as much as developed ability.

SAT and LSAT scores freeze the advantages one enjoys while living under one's parents' roof, or shortly thereafter, and the disadvantages that poor people and minorities suffer by reason of under-funded schools and lack of college prep courses. In legislating its way out of the worst depression this country has suffered, the government invested in whiteness through the Social Security Act, which excluded blacks from many of its provisions; the Wagner Act of 1935, which approved collective bargaining for all-white unions; and racially coded mortgage programs. These legislative acts allowed whites to earn more money and buy houses in better neighborhoods. Standardized testing, which came along shortly thereafter, consolidated those advantages.

Test scores may be highly positively correlated with income and social class, but they are even more so negatively correlated with race. Except...
for minorities, most admissions decisions do not change with the addition of test scores. Conversely, when graduate and professional school admissions officers ignore standardized test scores, they admit a much higher proportion of minority applicants. At every grade point average, minority applicants to law schools are admitted in lower proportions than whites. For example, whites with 3.50 to 3.74 GPAs were admitted to law schools eighty-five percent of the time, compared to seventy-six percent of African Americans and eighty percent of Hispanics with the same GPAs. As GPA decreased, disparities increased. Whites with 2.25 to 2.49 GPAs were admitted nearly half the time, whereas blacks only one quarter. Minorities make up slightly more than ten percent of the U.S. lawyer population, lagging behind accountants and only slightly ahead of physicians, college professors, dentists, and natural scientists. The LSAT today is a principal reason for that disparity.

Consider the group of mainly poor and African American students at Northampton East High School in rural North Carolina who, building on their physics and chemistry lessons, constructed an electric car that won a national competition that included many of the country's elite high schools. Although Northampton students made the best car, they might easily have been aced out of Harvard, Stanford, or the University of California by their competitors who scored 1500 or 1600 on their SATs and who society deems more meritorious by conventional criteria. ETS blandly insists that before standardized testing, elitism reigned and

Lederman, supra note 34, at A36.

76 See William Kidder, Comment, Does the LSAT Mirror or Magnify Racial and Ethnic Differences in Educational Attainment?: A Study of Equally Achieving "Elite" College Students, 89 CAL. L. REV. (forthcoming 2001) (stating that LSAT creates gap between black and white students that is considerably larger than gap found in first year grades of black and white law students); Kidder, supra note 24, at 180-82, 196, 207-09; William Kidder, Portia Denied: Unmasking Gender Bias on the LSAT and its Relationship to Racial Diversity in Legal Education, 12 YALE J. L. & FEMINISM 1, 10 (2000) (hereinafter Portia Denied).

77 See generally CROUSE & TRUSHEIM, supra note 11, at 122-52; Roithmayr, supra note 7, at 762-63.

78 Kidder, Portia Denied, supra note 36, at 14.

79 Id.

80 Id.


82 Id.

83 Id.

84 See SACKS, supra note 1, at 14; Sacks, supra note 25, at 31.
colleges admitted few blue collar students and minorities, whose numbers went up after their tests came into wide use. This proposition has not been proven and, in any event, today things are plainly working in the opposite direction.85

Why do many minorities score poorly on these tests? As mentioned earlier, inferior schools, poverty, and lack of access to test prep courses are part of the reason.86 But the tests themselves share part of the blame as well. Many test questions presuppose knowledge that is only common in middle or upper class white communities.87 The Multi-State Bar Exam (MBE) and LSAT questions emphasize business and property concepts, not civil rights, minority history, or literature.88 One study of the SAT found items requiring knowledge of golf, tennis, pirouettes, property taxes, minuets, kettle drums, tympani, polo, and horseback riding,89 items that are scarcely common in minority communities. A study of the LSAT showed reading passages disparaging W.E.B. Du Bois, Cesar Chavez, and Harriet Tubman.90 Other LSAT questions presupposed legal knowledge.91 And, although the industry assumes that conditions are the same for all, admissions officers have no way of knowing which applicants have taken a prep course, or whose scores reflect what Steele and Aronson call stereotype threat.

Testing requires communication, which in turn requires understanding of language and situations. Test makers do not write test questions as culturally and socio-economically stripped, neutral beings. Rather, test writers, like all humans, reflect the culture and surroundings in which they were raised. The situations and circumstances they incorporate into test questions, and, more importantly, the meanings and thought patterns they deem “right” will inevitably favor test takers who

---

85 CROUSE & TRUSHEIM, supra note 11, at 122.
86 Id. at 122-32.
87 Clarence Page, Schools Must Give All Students Chance to Succeed, CINCINNATI POST, Aug. 6, 1999, at 21A.
88 See, e.g., Kidder, supra note 24, at 38 app; see also infra notes 88-90 and accompanying text.
89 NAIRN, supra note 18, at 230.
90 Subcommittee, supra note 67, at 298. See also Kidder, supra note 24, at 38-42 app.
91 Subcommittee, supra note 67, at 298.
92 See Colloquy, supra note 68, at 89-90.
share those meanings and thought patterns.

Bias can enter in one final way, namely, in how we interpret and ascribe meaning to test scores. Blacks, for example, today have entrance test scores that compare with those of whites admitted to college in 1951, when admission was much less competitive than it is today. That generation of students did not do so badly, and were, in fact, running the world until quite recently. Why do we imagine that today’s minority students will do any worse? Imagine that someone designs a test of cultural competence that contains items on preference for types of music, willingness to wait in line, and attitudes toward credit. Suppose scores on this test were used to predict crime and who is likely to be arrested, expelled from school, and fired from work. Further suppose that the correlation between test scores and one of these other events turns out to be .41, with minorities earning most of the low scores. Does that mean that the test is valid? Of course not — arrest and school discipline rates are in many cases themselves a function of social prejudice, profiling, and other forms of structural bias against people of color. The test would serve, in effect, as a proxy for racism by measuring little of importance about blacks and other minorities, but much about our social attitudes toward them.

Reliance on standardized test scores may even be illegal.95 If the LSAT, for example, discriminates against certain groups, is not job related, and predicts scarcely better than chance, then its use in law school admissions may contravene federal guidelines.96 The United States Department of Education has released a series of drafts of a guidebook for the use of standardized tests in admissions decisions.97 Among other things, it warns that because the tests have disparate impacts on minorities, over-reliance on them could trigger legal problems.98 The

94 Bowen & Bok, supra note 54, at 30.
96 See Nondiscrimination, supra note 95. See generally Ass’n of Mex.-Am. Educ. v. Cal., 231 F.3d 572, 581 (9th Cir. 2000) (permitting Title VII suit against California for using standardized test for teacher certification); Sara Hebel, Little is Changed in Latest Draft on Education Department Guidelines on Standardized Tests, CHRON. OF HIGHER EDUC., July 14, 2000, at A31 (describing Department of Education guidelines that discuss potential legal problems associated with utilizing standardized test for admissions purposes).
97 See Nondiscrimination, supra note 95.
98 See id.
Department expects institutions to re-evaluate all tests and criteria on which certain racial or ethnic groups perform better or worse than others to make sure that they are educationally necessary and that no other device would impose less of a disparate impact. Alternatively, in California, the LSAT may constitute a racial preference for whites in violation of Proposition 209.

Over-reliance on standardized tests is not only bad for institutions, it is bad for society at large. The tests reward rote performance, guessing, gamesmanship, and the ability to sort artificial alternatives quickly under timed conditions. Not surprisingly, standardized test scores do not predict creativity, artistic achievement, or other forms of accomplishment later in life. In fact, one may speculate that our society's obsession with test scores is responsible, in part, for the marked decline in achievement in the arts, humanities, science, politics, and law visible in the last half century. It discourages teachers from teaching real material; instead they teach to the tests. Recall how early test boosters, like James Conant, were bureaucrats and Cold War Warriors who were uninterested in promoting creative or divergent thinking. Tests penalize dreamers, young people who live in a world of ideas or imagination. A recent analysis found that the SAT can cause problems for students who are unusually clever or creative. As a thought experiment, try to imagine anyone whom the United States has
produced in the last fifty years, the era of mass testing, who is as good a scientist as Einstein or Salk, a politician like Woodrow Wilson or John F. Kennedy, an artist like Frank Lloyd Wright, or a musical composer the equal of Aaron Copland or Igor Stravinsky. If, like me, you have trouble with that exercise you have no less an authority than Jacques Barzun, who wrote an entire book on the subject, on your side.107 Recent research shows that groups perform best when they are diverse, not uniform, and the same is likely to be true for society at large.108 Finally, standardized tests may limit opportunities for future leaders. Perhaps the greatest American civil rights figure of our time, Martin Luther King, Jr., a man whose name adorns this building, could not get into graduate school because he flunked the GRE, enrolling instead in a theological school.109 The rest was history. Even a study of 1,300 millionaires found "no correlation between [earlier] SAT scores, grade point averages, and [later] economic achievement."

Our obsession with testing may even be responsible for the decline in public esteem for the legal profession.110 Like many similar tests, the LSAT correlates negatively with community activism, social empathy, a desire to help others in trouble, and wanting to make a contribution to knowledge.112 When the public complains that lawyers seem callous, uninterested in justice, have poor communication skills, and do not return phone calls, might it be that we are screening for exactly that sort of person? Most senior partners, judges, and law professors entered law school at a time when the LSAT was not required, or when scores were much lower than they are today.113 Many could not get into the schools from which they graduated if they were applying today. As a further thought experiment, cast your mind back over the last fifty years and try

107 BARZUN, supra note 103.
109 Kidder, supra note 24, at 192 n.116; see also Rothmayr, supra note 7, at 757 (stating that University of Maryland Law School denied entry to Thurgood Marshall).
110 Chad Roedmeir, Average Millionaires Made Average Grades, Author Says, DENVER POST, Feb. 7, 2000, at 11A. A Harvard study showed success in life correlated with low SAT and blue-collar background. Guinier, supra note 65, at 1561; Sturm & Guinier, supra note 31, at 976.
111 See JoAnne Epps, LSAT’s Provide a Narrow Gate into Legal Profession, NAT. L.J., Aug. 28, 2000, at C3 (arguing that society needs lawyers with skills not measured by LSAT).
112 NAIRE, supra note 18, at 228; Kidder, supra note 24, at 201-04; Kidder, supra note 53, at 39-40.
113 That is to say they entered law practice in the 1950s and 1960s, before the tests were in wide use. See supra notes 16-18 and accompanying text.
to think of legal scholars the equal of Prosser, Fuller, Corbin, Llewellyn, or Jerome Frank; judges to match Felix Frankfurter, Louis Brandeis, or Oliver Wendell Holmes. Could our obsession with tests have something to do with that scarcity?

Test scores can easily infiltrate our thinking so as virtually to constitute what we deem merit. Early testers were racists and eugenicists; merit for them was what white Europeans did and thought.\textsuperscript{114} Even today, the tests are white-normed,\textsuperscript{115} they impose burdens on those with no prior knowledge of law or recurring test situations.\textsuperscript{116} Fifty percent of law students have at least one lawyer parent; the others have to pick up legal knowledge reflected in the LSAT as best they can.\textsuperscript{117}

ETS could easily adjust its tests to produce a more equitable distribution of scores across race and class lines, but it seems unlikely to do so without external pressure.\textsuperscript{118} Until recently, men earned more National Merit Scholarship Awards than women.\textsuperscript{119} In 1999, the Board, embarrassed by this, made minor changes in the Preliminary SAT (PSAT), including adding a multiple choice writing section, that equalized scores for men and women.\textsuperscript{120}

In law, for example, a certain type of personality scores high on the LSAT, gets into law school, then into teaching, and, finally, on the admissions committees where, unsurprisingly, they look for persons like themselves (except thirty years younger).\textsuperscript{121} Law professors who set out to teach in a different way find themselves reinforced negatively by students, a critical mass of whom is quick, glib, and expects the professor to cater to that form of discourse.\textsuperscript{122}

\begin{footnotes}
\item[114] See supra notes 7-12 and accompanying text.
\item[116] See supra note 7, at 739-40, 782-84 (explaining how standardized tests discriminate against minorities in terms of culture). Even when minorities do well on standardized tests, their success can spark suspicion. Consider the case of East Los Angeles high school teacher Jaime Escalante, whose Latino students scored high on the A.P. calculus test and were immediately challenged by ETS and required to undergo a retest. Jay Mathews, Dedicated Calif. Teacher Turns Students into Calculus Whizzes, WASH. POST, Dec. 12, 1982, at A6.
\item[117] Roithmayr, supra note 7, at 784-85.
\item[118] See supra notes 22-23 and accompanying text.
\item[119] Kidder, supra note 24, at 31.
\item[120] Id.
\item[121] Roithmayr, supra note 7, at 784-85.
\end{footnotes}
Professional norms push in the same direction. Law schools publish their average LSAT scores. If they are high, the alumni smile and reach for their checkbooks. Corporate recruiters take notice and add the school to their road show.

A final reason to get rid of the LSAT and its sister tests is that the standardized testing industry really deserves it. If their tests were to fall into total disuse, in my opinion, it could not happen to a nicer bunch of people. Now under scrutiny, the testing industry has responded by hype and self-promotion, rather than self-examination or meeting their critics halfway. ETS has long appointed professors and university administrators to committees, commissions, and consultancies and invited them to lavish retreats and conferences. Realizing that it is now under attack by many in the minority community, it has hastily increased representation of blacks and Latinos on committees and working groups. It takes out full page ads and hires minority front men to advocate the "responsible use" of their tests. It knows that prep courses improve scores, but continues to deny ETS winks at teachers who teach to K-12 competency tests and suburban students who gain an unfair advantage by submitting doctors' certificates that they need more time.

---

123 Roithmayr, supra note 7, at 777.
124 Id. at 765.
125 Id. at 767.
126 See supra notes 22-23 and infra notes 127-29.
127 See generally CROUSE & TRUSHEIM, supra note 11, at 28.
128 See, e.g., LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL, NEW MODELS TO ASSURE DIVERSITY, FAIRNESS, AND APPROPRIATE TEST USE IN LAW SCHOOL ADMISSIONS (2000) (listing five minority professor co-authors).
129 See supra note 24 and accompanying text; Cari Hammerstrom, Admission Council Announces $10 Million Plan to Find LSAT Alternatives, UNIVERSITY WIRE TODAY, Feb. 13, 2001 (LSAC urges law schools to use test judiciously).
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Mental testing is an effective means of social control. Breaking ETS' monopoly will require real effort. Employer A won't hire the first black applicant with a lower test score out of concern for what others in the same industry will think, and the same is true for schools. Even though the black or Latina may be the best worker, employer A may find it rational to hire the second best worker who is socially constructed as "the best" in the eyes of employers B and C.

II. A ROADMAP FOR ABOLITIONISTS

What might we do to reduce reliance on standardized tests such as the SAT and LSAT? If a school believes that a standardized test "amounts to the academic lynching of children of color," it could insist that testing agencies provide it with scores adjusted for race or economic background; adding points when students "beat their demographic odds." Better yet, it could insist on test scores that are fair across the board.

Better still, as one university president has proposed, schools could abolish the use of the test entirely or make it optional, as over 280 colleges and universities have done with the SAT, including a few elite ones like Bowdoin, Bates, Dennison, and Mt. Holyoke. A number of
these schools report that the size and quality of their applicant pool went up in the wake of the change. In several high school districts today top students are boycotting graduation tests, believing them unfair to the less able. Pressures of this sort could hasten reform.

Law schools could give applicants the option to determine how much weight is afforded LSAT scores. One law school changed its admissions form to allow applicants to check one of two boxes. If they checked one box, the school would give their LSAT score the usual weight. If they checked the other, it would give their LSAT scores less weight and other factors, such as community service, overcoming adversity, recommendation letters, and unusual life experiences, more. They now have forty percent minority enrollment and have not lost the near-elite status they enjoyed before the change.

A reputable organization such as the Association of American Law Schools (AALS) or Society of American Law Teachers (SALT) could sponsor its own culture free test. Current accreditation requirements only oblige law schools to include some written test in the admission process. Since past “accomplishments are the best predictor of future accomplishments,” schools might give that factor decisive weight.

that Harvard Divinity School’s master’s program and Harvard Business School have abandoned their usual admissions tests).
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Law schools might do something similar. For example, the University of California, Davis could work with Sacramento State University and local community colleges to offer guaranteed admission to law school for students who perform at a high level in their undergraduate curriculum.  

We might interview applicants or create a character index composed of traits we consider indicative of a good lawyer. Cornell's Department of Human Development created a targeted test which gave applicants particular assignments such as "critique this article."  

Law schools could open up their second year ranks to transfers from lesser institutions, thereby rewarding demonstrated merit. They could give the LSAT five or ten percent weight instead of the current thirty to fifty percent. They could offer summer try-out programs for borderline candidates, guaranteeing admission in the fall to those who performed well.  

Law schools could agree not to report their test scores to U.S. News & World Report, as forty-four colleges and universities have done with the SAT.  

We could make allowances, not only for underprivileged and surmounting adversity, but also for privilege so that applicants who come from wealthy families and have had many opportunities to excel but produced only mediocre credentials, say a GPA of 3.1 and a SAT of 1200, would have their scores reduced.  

Corporate America loves affirmative action even though conservative think tanks do not. Once it is gone, business will find it more difficult
than ever to recruit workers of color, particularly if standardized testing continues unchallenged. Activists at law schools could seek guarantees of large contributions from local corporations to their schools on condition that those schools abolish the LSAT and other standardized tests.

Activists at the University of California, Davis could communicate with the Martin Luther King Foundation and inform them of how a law school that bears his martyred name is employing a version of the very test that kept him out of graduate school to screen out minorities of color. It could ask them to request that the school discontinue using his name and image until it changes its admission procedures.

Small but elite law schools, like Yale, could simply expand; a much larger first year class could hold many more minorities, especially if the school were willing to broaden admission requirements at the same time. They could ask other law schools to sign a pledge de-emphasizing the LSAT. Student and faculty activists could point out that a more diverse student body would make the school more attractive to women and minority professors, easing its recruitment woes. I can assure you that well-regarded minority law professors would flock to the first school to take such a step. Finally, a school that is prepared to end the reign of tests will make admissions fairer for all students, including talented whites who do not test well or who simply prefer a more diverse, fairer atmosphere in which to learn the law.
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